

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/567,740	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ASAKA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Tri V. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 1751	

**All Participants:**

(1) Tri V. Nguyen.

(2) Shuji Yoshizaki (appl. rep.).
**Status of Application:** non-final and pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 September 2007
**Time:** 4pm
**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-17

Prior art documents discussed:

Bennett et al.

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

An authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicant's Representative to cancel claims 1, 2, 8 and 9 without prejudice to their continued prosecution in a continuation application.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)